		- The
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/080,879	TRUCHE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Bernard E Souw	2881
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS. This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.		
1. This communication is responsive to <u>Amendment filed 04/23/2004</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-47 and 49-59</u> .		
3. The drawings filed on 23 April 2004 are accepted by the Examiner.		
4.		
 Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material 	6. ⊠ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Dar B), 7. □ Examiner's Amendr	te <u>0723a</u> .

Art Unit: 2881

DETAILED ACTION

Interview

1. A telephone conference interview was conducted on 07/13/2004 with applicant's representatives, Mr. James Keddie, Mr. Timothy Joyce and Mr. Bret Field. The interview summary filed by applicant on 07/13/2004 is accepted.

Amendment

2. The Amendment filed on 04/23/2004 in reply to the office action mailed 11/25/2003, has been entered. The present Office Action is made with all the suggested amendments being fully considered.

Claims 1-47 and 49-59 are pending.

Drawings

3. A formal drawing of the amended Fig.7 has been received. The proposed drawing amendment has been approved in the previous office action.

Specification

4. The text to Fig.7 in specification, page 10/line 4, having been appropriately amended, the previous objection is now withdrawn.

Art Unit: 2881

Double Patenting Rejections Withdrawn

Page 3

5. Applicant's arguments presented during the 07/13/2004 interview regarding all

claims provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 statutory type double patenting as

claiming the same invention as in copending U.S. Patent Application No.10/134,806,

are persuasive. All statutory type double patenting rejections are now withdrawn.

6. Applicant's arguments presented during the 07/13/2004 interview regarding

claims 1-10, 18, 54-56 and 58 provisionally rejected obviousness type double patenting

as being unpatentable over claims and combinations of claims of copending Application

No. 10/134,806 are persuasive. The provisional obviousness type double patenting

rejections of claims 1-10, 18, 54-56 and 58 are now withdrawn.

Previous § 102 and § 103 Rejections Withdrawn

7. Applicant's arguments presented during the 07/13/2004 interview regarding prior

art claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) and (e) as well as under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

are persuasive. Consequently, all prior art based claim rejections imposed in the

previous office actions are now withdrawn.

Allowance

8. Claims 1-47 and 49-59 are allowed.

Reasons for Allowance

Art Unit: 2881

9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

- A conduit for providing a heated gas flow to an ionization region adjacent to a

Page 4

collecting capillary for enhancing analyte ion detection, as recited in claim 1, is neither

anticipated nor rendered obvious by any prior art.

- Claim 11 is allowed for claiming mass spectrometer employing a conduit having the

same functions as recited in claim 1.

- Claims 39 and 47 are allowed for claiming an apparatus for mass sensitive ion

detection employing an ion enhancement system that --interpreted in light of the

specification-- specifically excludes a directly heated collecting capillary while making

use of a conduit having the same functions as recited in claim 1.

- A method for enhancing analyte ions for detection, or for producing the same, by

heating the ions with a directed gas, as recited in claims 36 and 38, is neither

anticipated nor rendered obvious by any prior art.

- Claim 52 is allowed for claiming a method for analyte ion enhancement that --

interpreted in light of the specification-- specifically excludes a direct heating of a

collecting capillary while using a conduit having the same functions as recited in claim 1.

- Claims 2-10, 12-35, 37, 40-46, 49-51 and 53-59 are allowed are also allowed because

of their dependencies, either directly or indirectly, upon claims 1, 11, 36, 38, 39, 47

and/or 52.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Bernard E Souw whose telephone number is 571 272

Art Unit: 2881

2482. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday, 9:00 am to 5:00

pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John R Lee can be reached on 571 272 2477. The central fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)

872-9306 for regular communications as well as for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308

0956.

bes

July 23, 2004

JOYN R. LEE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Page 5